

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 048 881

LI 002 677

AUTHOR Burns, Robert W., Jr.
TITLE An Investigation into the Significance of Age as a
Factor in Selecting an Optimum Circulation Period(s)
for Serials.
INSTITUTION Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins. Libraries
PUB DATE Nov 70
NOTE 20p.
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29
DESCRIPTORS *Library Circulation, Library Planning, Library
Surveys, Scholarly Journals, *Serials, Use Studies
IDENTIFIERS Shelf Life

ABSTRACT

The identifiable age grouping of the optimum circulation interval for journal usage is five years. Heaviest journal usage occurs during the first five years after its publication date; and beginning with the sixth year, use becomes so infrequent that requirements for return of the journal within two days creates unnecessary hardship on the user. Journal usage is inversely proportional to the age of the journal. (MF)

ED048881

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION
& WELFARE

OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR
ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF
VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECES-
SARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU-
CATION POSITION OR POLICY

AN

INVESTIGATION INTO THE
SIGNIFICANCE OF AGE AS A FACTOR IN
SELECTING AN OPTIMUM CIRCULATION PERIOD(S)
FOR SERIALS

By

Robert W. Burns, Jr.

Research and Development Division

Colorado State University Libraries

November, 1970

LI 002 677

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- A. Purpose of Study
- B. Goal of Study
- C. Introduction And Dimensions of the Problem
- D. Methodology
 - 1. Literature Review
 - 2. Assumptions Governing the Study
 - 3. Parameters of the Study
- E. Conclusions
- F. Recommendations
- G. Bibliography

TABLES

1. Serial Pieces Discharged By Loan Desk Staff During the Period May 11 - August 31.
2. Age Grouping of Serials Cited In Survey And In Subject Bibliographies.

FIGURES

1. Histogram Showing Titles Checked-In Which Bore A Given Date.
2. Cumulative Percent of Daily Discharges Published Before (Inclusive) A Given Date.
3. Plot of Regression of the Number of Checkouts On The Age of the Journal Using $y = \alpha X^\beta$ As Model.

A. Purpose of This Study

To determine if there exists an identifiable age grouping of the circulating serials and to test the following hypotheses:

1. That the older journals tend to be used less than the more recent ones and can, therefore, legitimately be allowed to circulate for a longer period of time without imposing any service penalty on other users who might be deprived of an important resource while the serial is out of the building.

2. That a logical breaking point in serial use occurs after a journal is 5 years old. Or, stated another way, greatest use of a journal is made during the first five years of its life; and, beginning with the sixth year, use (defined here as a request to take the volume or issue outside the library) becomes so infrequent that to require its return within two days works an unnecessary hardship on the library's public.

B. Goal of This Study

To establish an optimum circulation interval (of those now used by the Loan Desk) for serials as a function of the age of the journal.

C. Introduction And Dimensions of the Problem.

In order to maintain its viability, any service oriented organization must continuously review its operating assumptions in the light of current realities and the needs of its

clients. Without this continuing self-evaluation administrative rigidity quickly sets in and the organization loses both its direction and sense of purpose. The ability to respond immediately to changes in the requirements or use patterns of its clients is essential to the health of any public service organization.

The investigation reported here is a use study with use defined in a very specific way and having for its sole predictor variable the age of the publication. Use, as defined in this report, has nothing to do with the study of patron reactions; nor are we concerned with whether the user looks at or even opens the journal which he chooses to take from the library. Use is defined here exclusively as the act of legally removing a journal (either single issue or bound volume) from the library and returning it.

This investigation studied only the optimum circulation interval for a journal. No attempt was made to review the broader problems of user demand/satisfaction, purchase vs. borrowing, or the storage of lesser used materials. While these problems are related to the one under investigation, they were judged to be outside the province of this study and will not be considered here. This survey focuses on the circulation interval as a function of the serial's age and on the determination of its (the interval) optimum size in order to maximize user satisfaction.

The basic unit for serial sampling in this investigation was the physical piece. This can be either a single volume or several volumes bound together, and in some cases it may even be a single loose issue. No attempt was made to distinguish between a bound or unbound serial. Nor was any attempt made to isolate and study material by subject fields, because of the physical impossibility of expecting a clerk or student assistant working at the Loan Desk to set different circulation periods for different subject fields.

D. Methodology

This study examined the assumption that all journals, independent of their age, must circulate for 2 days, and sought to determine if two or more circulation periods (from those presently in use - 2 hours, 12 hours, 2 days, 3 days, 2 weeks, the quarter) would better meet the needs of our users. The investigation will seek to do this by ascertaining if there exists a time in the life of a serial during which it receives greatest use. If such a heavy use period could be identified, it would be possible to place a limited circulation interval on the journal during this period, and then extend the circulation interval once this period has expired. Suggested circulation intervals are 2 days and 2 weeks both of which are presently understood and in use by the Loan Desk staff.

At the close of each circulation day all McBee cards for discharged serials (identified by a due date stamped on card in purple ink) were tabulated by R/D in such a way that the number of returning pieces were tallied by the date on their cover. Twenty-five age categories were used for the period from 1970-1947 including one category for those serials bearing an earlier cover date. To this, but not considered one of the twenty-five, was added a category for cards which could not be used and were, therefore, discarded. Only 1.8% of the sample drawn was discarded. These cards were withdrawn because they contained no date. Bound volumes which contained multiple dates were assigned the most recent date on the serial piece. All cards were tallied by calendar year so as to indicate the total number of pieces which had been returned to the library bearing a given age.

D. 1. Literature Review

Very little material which addressed itself directly to this problem was found in the literature. There are numerous studies of library use (Jain 1 reports over 700 by 1967) examining everything from citation counts to reference questions, but no study was located which pertained solely to the problem being examined here.

One of the better usage studies examined was that by Fussler and Simon (3). They studied the problems of selecting material for secondary storage and user access based

upon a variety of parameters (language, age of publication, elapsed time since last use, and elapsed time since acquisition) affecting the material sought. Their conclusion that "...the rate of use of titles continues to decrease indefinitely with the age of the title..." (p.145) supports the conclusions of this study. This position is further buttressed by the work of Jain (1) who found that "...age is a significant variable in predicting the rates of usage..." (Preface) of the library's book stock.

Most of the studies examined in the literature reported on library use and user satisfaction as factors related to the size of the collection, or the necessity for purchase of duplicate copies, or how the scientist acquires his information, or the selection of material to be placed in secondary storage, and gave little consideration to the problem under investigation here. However, of the studies examined there were five, in addition to the Fussler and Simon, which touched on the problem studied here and were, therefore, judged to be worthy of mention. These include the work of Cole (2), Jain (1), Vickery (4), Shaw (5) and Cole (6).

Nevertheless, each of these investigators (except Jain, Fussler and Simon) examined a very limited population of scientific and engineering journals and were, therefore, judged to give only supporting weight rather than conclusive evidence to the present investigation.

Cole (2) studied usage as a function of the age of the scientific serial in determining future demand. Vickery (4) examined the literature of medicine, agriculture and technology and came to the conclusion that 55% of the use of the literature in these disciplines occurs in the first 10 years (p.266) of its life. His findings are further supported by the work of Shaw (5) and Cole (6) who report that 95% and 96%, respectively, of the literature use they studied involved materials less than 10 years old. Shaw also reports that 83% of the literature used was less than one year old. Each of these studies supported our hypothesis that use and age are inversely proportional with the greatest use occurring somewhere within the first 10 years of a journals life. No studies were found in the literature contradicting this point of view.

D. 2. Assumptions

Before any in-depth study could be made of age as a factor of use it was necessary to make some assumptions about the investigation and about the population to be surveyed. These assumptions are as follows:

1. That the use of the library's serial holdings made during the period of the study is a reliable index of all use made of serials outside the building.

2. That the age distribution of those journals which were charged out of the library is statistically comparable to the age distribution of those which were checked in.

3. That use of need (however these may be defined) can be discussed as a function of the age of the journal.

4. That checking a journal out of the library is indicative of a felt need (whether real or imaginary) on the part of the patron.

5. That the activity at the Loan Desk during the study period is typical of the entire school year.

6. Whatever circulation period(s) is (are) adapted or charges made, they must be easily identified and the rule for their use simple to understand and easy to apply. Further, it would be in the best interests of both library and patron if one of the circulation periods now in use could also serve for serials.

D. 3. Parameters of the Study

1. The study ran and data were collected for each day the library was open from May 11 - August 31 for a total of 108 days.

2. 24 age categories were used ranging from 1970 through 1947. To this was added one column for all serials published earlier than 1947 and one column for data which could not be used.

3. There were 14,786 samples taken. Of this number 270 (1.9%) were discarded as not being useable, and 715 (4.8%) of those drawn contained dates earlier than 1947.

4. The range in circulation varied from 3496 (those

journals with a cover date of 1970) to 46 (cover date of 1947).

5. The arithmetic mean of the daily circulation (not counting the discarded samples) was $14516 \div 25$ or 581. It was interesting to note that this figure occurs quite soon in the circulation history of the journals studied (between the years 1965 and 1964) and lends additional support to our hypothesis that heaviest use occurs early in the life of a journal.

E. Conclusions

1. Without exception the findings of other investigators (1,2,3,4,5,6) in this area bore out the conclusions of this study. There exists a positive correlation between the age of the material and the use (as defined herein) being made of that material. The present study bears out and supports these earlier findings. See Table 2.

2. It was first thought that the data gathered here would fit the equation

(Eq.1)
$$D = \frac{1}{A}$$

where D = Demand as expressed by the number of journals (pieces) removed from the library bearing
A = the age (in years) of these pieces.

However, a more careful plot of the curve seen in Fig.1 with some help from the Statistical Lab produced

the following equation, using regression analysis, as a better fit for the data plotted in Table 1. From this equation it is now possible to generalize the relationship which exists between date of a journal and the demand or use likely to be made of that journal. Notice, however, that this prediction is for journal titles in the aggregate and bears no relationship to the subject matter. The conclusions from this study in so far as they are supported by the data and borne out by similar research are as follows:

1. The use made of a journal is inversely proportional to the age of the journal and can be expressed as a function of the Equation 2.

(Eq.2) $Y = \alpha X^{\beta}$

Where X = age in years of the journal beginning with the most recent, 1970 in this case, = 1.

Zero is undefined.

Y = number of predicted journals to be checked out bearing a given date.

α = Constant 8973

β = -1.491

2. From this it is possible to infer that the need to check-out a journal from the library (referred to here as its use) declines as that journal's age increases. It is also significant to note that the greatest drop (See Table 1) took place between the first and second years, the next greatest between the second and third year etc. This is more easy to visualize if one studies the histogram in Fig. 1 and the curve plotted in Fig. 3 from which the equation was derived.

3. Note that the use began to level out between the years 1968 and 1963 indicating that the boundary for an optimum circulation interval should lie somewhere between these ages (3 and 8). See Fig. 3.

F. Recommendations

1. That the Circulation Librarian be asked to study this report and submit, in the light of the enclosed data and her own requirements, recommendations for or against the following points:

a. The CSU Libraries should adapt as policy the concept that all journals over five years old will be treated as monographs in so far as their circulation interval and renewal are concerned.

G. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Jain, Aridaman K. A Statistical Study of Book Use. Purdue University, 1967 PB176525.
2. Cole, P.F. "Journal Usage Versus Age of Journal." Jour. of Doc. 19(1):1-11. March, 1963.
3. Fussler, Herman L. and J.L. Simon. Patterns In the Use of Books In Large Research Libraries. University of Chicago Press, 1969.
4. Vickery, B.C. "The Use of Scientific Literature." Lib. Assoc. Rec. 63(8):263-269. August, 1961.
5. Shaw, R.R. Pilot Study on the Use of Scientific Literature By Scientists. Washington, 1956.
6. Cole, P.F. "The Analysis of Reference Question Records As A Guide to the Information Requirements of Scientists." Jour. of Doc. 14:197-207 1958.

Fig. 1

HISTOGRAM SHOWING TITLES CHECKED-IN WHICH BORE A GIVEN DATE





Fig. 2

CUMULATIVE PERCENT OF DAILY DISCHARGES
PUBLISHED BEFORE (INCLUSIVE) A GIVEN DATE



Base = 14,516

DATE
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70
Earlier

Fig. 3

PLOT OF REGRESSION SHOWING THE NUMBER OF CHECKOUTS
ON AGE OF THE JOURNAL USING $Y = ax^b$ AS MODEL




TABLE 1. SERIALS DISCHARGED BY LOAN DESK DURING May 11 - August 31.

*Base = 14,786

†Base = 14,516

	DATE ON COVER	NUMBER (ΣY) OF PIECES Discharged having a Given Date	% of The Total*	Size of Interval (X ₁ -X ₂)	Cumulative % showing number of Issues Published By (Inclusive) Date [†]	Average Circulation of Pieces Discharged (ΣY) is N_{zy}/N_D where $N_D = 108$	
1.	1970	3496	23.6	1060	100	32.4	1.
2.	69	2436	16.5	939	75	22.5	2.
3.	68	1497	10.1	375	59	13.8	3.
4.	67	1122	7.6	298	48	10.3	4.
5.	66	824	5.6	160	41	7.6	5.
6.	65	664	4.5	128	35	6.1	6.
7.	64	536	3.6	106	30	4.9	7.
8.	63	430	2.9	39	27	3.9	8.
9.	62	391	2.6	58	24	3.6	9.
10.	61	333	2.2	40	21	3.0	10.
11.	60	293	1.9	29	19	2.7	11.
12.	59	264	1.8	53	17	2.4	12.
13.	58	211	1.4	-19	15	1.9	13.
14.	57	230	1.6	75	13	2.1	14.
15.	56	165	1.1	17	12	1.5	15.
16.	55	148	1.	26	11	1.3	16.
17.	54	122	.83	-3	10	1.12	17.
18.	53	125	.85	0	9.3	1.1	18.
19.	52	125	.85	27	8.4	1.1	19.
20.	51	98	.66	-4	6.9	.90	20.
21.	50	102	.69	23	6.9	.94	21.
22.	49	79	.53	15	6.2	.73	22.
23.	48	64	.43	18	5.6	.59	23.
24.	47	46	.31		5.2	.42	24.
25.	Earlier	715	4.8		4.8	6.6	25.
26.	Dis- carded	270	1.8			2.5	26.

TABLE 2.

AGE GROUPING OF SERIALS CITED IN SURVEY AND IN SUBJECT BIBLIOGRAPHIES.

Percentage of Material Checked Out or Used In This Age Category	AGE OF SERIALS REQUESTED BEGINNING WITH MOST RECENT			
	0-5	6-10	11-20	21 years & older
Burns	64%	16%	12%	7%
Vickery (4)	55%		25%	20%
Reported In a Study of Subject Bibliographies (4)	40%		25%	35%